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# 1.0 Introduction

This section provides an overview of the entire requirement document. This document describes all data, functional and behavioral requirements for software.

## 1.1 Goals and Objectives

Overall goals and software objectives are described.

## 1.2 Statement of Scope

A description of the software is presented. Major inputs, processing functionality and outputs are described without regard to implementation detail.

## 1.3 Software Context

The software is placed in a business or product line context. Strategic issues relevant to context are discussed. The intent is for the reader to understand the 'big picture'.

## 1.4 Major Constraints

Any business or product line constraints that will impact the manner in which the software is to be specified, designed, implemented or tested are noted here.

# 2.0 Usage Scenario

This section provides a usage scenario for the software. It organized information collected during requirements elicitation into use-cases.

## 2.1 User Profiles

The profiles of all user categories are described here.

## 2.2 Use-Cases

LIST of all use-cases for the software are presented.

## 2.3 Special Usage Considerations

Special requirements associated with the use of the software are presented.

# 3.0 Data Model and Description

This section describes information domain for the software

## 3.1 Data Description

Data objects that will be managed/manipulated by the software are described in this section.

### 3.1.1 Data Objects

For each data object in 3.1 describe their major attributes.

### 3.1.2 Relationships

Relationships among data objects are described using CRC cards. No attempt is made to provide detail at this stage.

### 3.1.3 Complete Data Model

An UML Class model for the software is developed

### 3.1.4 Data Dictionary

A reference to the data dictionary is provided. The dictionary is maintained in electronic form.

# 4.0 Functional Model and Description

Description of major software functions along with UML Use Case, sequence, and communication diagrams.

## 4.1 Use Cases

A detailed description of each software function is presented by completing a use case template.

Cross reference this document with file name of use case summary document

LIST all of the use cases cross-listed with the file names of actual document

## 4.2 Software Interface Description

The software interface(s)to the outside world is(are) described.

### 4.2.1 External Machine Interfaces

Interfaces to other machines (computers or devices) are described.

### 4.2.2 External System Interfaces

Interfaces to other systems, products or networks are described.

### 4.2.3 Human Interface

An overview of any human interfaces to be designed for the software is presented.

## 4.3 Sequence Diagrams

Used to model the class interactions needed for the use cases.

## 4.4 Communication Diagrams

Used to model the message passing structure of the system functions.

# 5.0 Behavioral Model and Description

A description of the behavior of the software is presented.

## 5.1 Description for Software Behavior

A detailed description of major events and states is presented in this section.

### 5.1.1 Events

A listing of events (control, items) that will cause behavioral change within the system is presented.

### 5.1.2 States

A listing of states (modes of behavior) that will result as a consequence of events is presented.

## 5.2 State Transition Diagrams

Depict the manner in which the software reacts to external events.

# 6.0 Restrictions, Limitations, and Constraints

Special issues which impact the specification, design, or implementation of the software are noted here.

# 7.0 Validation Criteria

The approach to software validation is described.

## 7.1 Classes of Tests

The types of tests to be conducted are specified, including as much detail as is possible at this stage. Emphasis here is on black- box testing.

## 7.2 Expected Software Response

The expected results from testing are specified.

## 7.3 Performance Bounds

Special performance requirements are specified.

# 8.0 Appendices

Presents information that supplements the Requirements Specification

## 8.1 System Traceability Matrix

A matrix that traces stated software requirements back to the system specification.

## 8.2 Product Strategies

If the specification is developed for a product, a description of relevant product strategy is presented here.

## 8.3 Analysis Metrics to be Used

The following is a description of the goal of the metrics used during this project, definitions of the various metrics used, and their applicability to the different aspects of the project.

### 8.3.1 Goal of the Metrics

Analyze the *Ice Cream Manager* architecture for the purpose of evaluating architectural components with respect to the ability to make *Ice Cream Manager* more extensible from the viewpoint of the software engineers performing the work in the context of product enhancement until deployment to the customer.

Towards that goal, the metrics that are collected will be used to answer the following questions:

1. This is the test. This is the test. This is the test. This is the test. This is the test. This is the test. This is the test.

### 8.3.2 Requirements Model Metrics

The quality of the Requirements Model for this project will be determined using two different metrics; specificity and completeness.

#### Specificity

Specificity is the measure of the precision of language and lack of ambiguity in the Requirements Model. Measurement of specificity occurs through the interpretation of requirements by reviewers. The frequency in which reviewers agree regarding how a requirement is interpreted improves the specificity score. The specificity score is calculated using the following equation:

Where is the specificity score, is the number of requirements for which all the reviewers had identical interpretations, and is the total number of functional and non-functional requirements. When interpreting the specificity score the closer it is to the more specific—or less ambiguous—the requirements.

#### Completeness

Completeness is the measure of how complete the Requirements Model is. Measurement of completeness occurs through examining the ratio between the number of functional requirements and the number of the inputs and states in the model. The completeness score is calculated using the following equation:

Where is the completeness score, is the number of unique functional requirements, is the number of inputs defined or implied by the specification, and is the number of states defined by the specification. When interpreting the completeness score the closer it is to the higher the percentage of necessary functions that have been specified.

### 8.3.3 Object-Oriented Model Metrics

The quality of the Object-Oriented Model for this project will be determined using two different metrics; responsibility and linking.

#### Responsibility

Responsibility is the measure of how much a single class is responsible for performing. Measurement of the responsibility score for a single class occurs though examining the number of methods within or inherited by the class, and the number of attributes within or inherited by the class. The responsibility score is calculated using the following equations:

Where is the overall responsibility score, is the method responsibility score, is the attribute responsibility score, is the number of methods within the class, is the number of methods inherited by the class, is the number of attributes within the class, and is the number of attributes inherited by the class. Properties—attribute getters/setters in *C#*—are not included when counting any methods or attributes for this calculation. When interpreting the method or attribute responsibility scores any values higher than is likely indicative of a need to spread the responsibilities to a new or existing class. Likewise, an overall responsibility score with a value higher than is also indicative of a class that needs its responsibilities lightened.

#### Linking

### 8.3.4 Source Code Quality Metrics

The quality of the source code for this project will be determined using two different metrics; operations and parameters.

#### Operations

#### Parameters

## 8.4 Supplementary Information (as required)
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